

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.U. Box M50 Aldxandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,988	08/12/2003	Hiroyuki Sugawara	450100-04709	6298
7590 02/07/2006 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP			EXAMINER	
			ONI, OLUBUSOLA	
745 FIFTH AVE NEW YORK, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•· - ·· - • • - • - •			2168	
		DATE MAILED: 02/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/638,988	SUGAWARA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	OLUBUSOLA ONI	2168				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)	" III	(DTO 442)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/12/2003. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

 This action is responsive to communication: Application, filed on 08/14/2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by David Chou (Pub. No U.S 2003/0004984) hereinafter "David".

For claim 1 David teaches data storage system having a plurality of terminal apparatuses of users connected with a data storage unit of a service provider via a computer network" (See paragraph [0020]), said data storage unit storing data from inside said terminal apparatuses so that the stored data are subsequently used by said users (See paragraph [0030]), said data storage unit comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

"connecting means for connecting said data storage unit of said service provider with said terminal apparatuses of said users via said computer network independently of types of said terminal apparatuses (See paragraph [0022]);

"file storing means for allocating to each of said users a user area of a predetermined size in which to store data from inside the terminal apparatus of the user in question" (See paragraph [0020]);

"file managing means for managing the data being stored in said file storing means (See paragraph [0030]); and data format converting means for performing a data format converting means process on the stored data in said file storing means" (See paragraph [0020, 0023-0024])

"wherein, in response to a use request from any one compatible with the type of the terminal apparatus used by the requesting user for connection to the system, the converted data being used by said terminal apparatus through which said requesting user has sent said use request" (See paragraph [0020-0025]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2168

5. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over David Chou (Pub. No U.S 2003/0004984) hereinafter "David" in view of Deepak Puri, Mississauga (Pub No. US 2001/0037241) hereinafter "Puri".

For claim 2, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument give above for rejected claim 1 above. David does not explicitly teach "data disclosure controlling means for managing use conditions under which said stored data in said data storing means are allowed to be used" and "wherein said data disclosure controlling means enables the data for which said use conditions have been set to be used by users other than the riser-who stored the data in question into said data storing means".

However, Puri teaches "data disclosure controlling means for managing use conditions under which said stored data in said data storing means are allowed to be used" (See paragraph [0039]) and "wherein said data disclosure controlling means enables the data for which said use conditions have been set to be used by users other than the user who stored the data in question into said data storing means" (See paragraph [0040]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify David by teachings of Puri. Puri's system is unique in that not all users require a user name and password to access the data storage, but entering a username and password would help identify whether or not the user is a specific type of user.

Application/Control Number: 10/638,988

Art Unit: 2168

For claim 3 this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument give above for rejected claim 2 above. David does not explicitly teach "announcing means for announcing that the data for which said use conditions have been set are usable by the users other than said user who stored the data in question into said data storing means".

However, Puri teaches "announcing means for announcing that the data for which said use conditions have been set are usable by the users other than said user who stored the data in question into said data storing means" (See paragraph [0075, 0100]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify David with the teachings of Puri, because the announcing means works as a matching base that matches a potential buyer with a seller.

For claim 4, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument give above for rejected claim 3 above. David does not explicitly teach "wherein said announcing means makes the announcement to a specific user other than said user who stored the data in question into said data storing means".

However, Puri teaches "wherein said announcing means makes the announcement to a specific user other than said user who stored the data in question into said data storing means" (See paragraph [0114]).

For claim 5, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument give above for rejected claim 3 above. David does not explicitly teach "means for

establishing either in response to a request from another user before said announcement, or after said announcement".

However, Puri teaches "means for establishing either in response to a request from another user before said announcement, or after said announcement" (See paragraph [0076])

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify David by teachings of Puri, wherein Puri's teachings included finding a potential buyer and contacting the buyer, without the matching process.

For claim 6 this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the argument give above for rejected claim above. David does not explicitly teach "recording means for recording settings of said use conditions and a history of uses by the other users of the data for which said use condition have been set".

However, Puri teaches "recording means for recording settings of said use conditions and a history of uses by the other users of the data for which said use condition have been set" (See paragraph [0039-0041]).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify David by the teachings of Puri, because obtaining a user name and password through registration would have included the need to enter varied information which becomes the users profile, likewise the history or interest of a user may be based on relevant data enter by the user.

Art Unit: 2168

CONCLUSION

6. The following prior art cited on the PTO-892 form, not relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUBUSOLA ONI whose telephone number is 571-272-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JEFFREY GAFFIN can be reached on 571-272-4146. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KHANH B. PHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER OLUBUSOLA ONI Examiner Art Unit 2168

PRIMARY EXAMINE